

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/609,105	GRAY, JAN S.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tuan V. Thai	2186	

All Participants:

(1) Tuan V. Thai.

(2) Mr. William J. Breen III (Reg. No. 45,313).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 October 2006

Time: 14:02 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

11, 13-19, 21-23, 42-46, 48-50 and 56-67

Prior art documents discussed:

Mishimoto et al. ('676)


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed the amendment of claims 11, 42, 45, 56 and 63; also to cancel claims 17, 43 and 50. All independent claims has been amended to including the address comparison to indicate the cache level within the plurality of levels the address is included, and communicating that particular indication to the operating system being executed on the processor unit. See attached Examiner amendment..